

July 1, 1982

INTRODUCED BY: AUDREY GRUGER

PROPOSED NO.: 82 -339

ORDINANCE NO. 6091

1
2 AN ORDINANCE relating to Forward Thrust Park
3 Resolution No. 34571 finding a certain project
4 to be impractical to complete and therefore
5 deleting said project and transferring funds
6 from said project to another designated
7 project.

8 PREAMBLE:

9 King County Resolution No. 34571 (hereinafter
10 "Resolution") was adopted by the Board of County
11 Commissioners (now the King County Council and the
12 King County Executive) on December 18, 1967 and is
13 commonly referred to as the Forward Thrust Park
14 Bond Resolution.

15 This Resolution was approved by the voters of King
16 County on February 13, 1968. The voters authorized
17 the issuance of general obligation bonds for the
18 purpose of the development and improvement of public
19 park and recreation facilities all within King County
20 and by various cities and towns in King County.

21 Public park and recreation facilities that are
22 contemplated by the Resolution and defined therein
23 mean any land, interest in land and facilities
24 thereon within the County set aside for public park,
25 recreational, greenbelt, arboretum, historic, scenic,
26 viewpoint, aesthetic ornamental or natural resource
27 preservation purposes. Projects described in Section
28 3 of the Resolution hereinafter "projects" assigned
29 to the County were based in whole or in part upon
30 input from citizens, civic organizations, public
31 officials and 30 cities and towns in King County.

32 Section 5 of the Resolution provides in part that if
33 the King County legislative authority (hereinafter
"Legislative authority or Council") shall then
determine the conditions occurred which makes it
impractical to substantially complete a project by
reason of changed conditions that the County shall
not be required to accomplish such project and may
apply the funds as provided in Section 6 of the
Resolution. The legislative authority desires to
specify a project which is impractical to complete
as contemplated by the Resolution. The legislative
authority further desires to approve the transfer
of funds from the deleted project to another
designated project for further expansion and
improvement of that project.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Findings. The Council hereby finds that the
below described project is impractical to substantially
accomplish because of prior acquisition by a superior
governmental authority.

1 Original bond designation:

2 F(7) RICHMOND BEACH ADDITION \$110,000

3 Acquisition of approximately
4 1,200 feet of beach. (King
5 County).

6 Amount available after finding
7 of impracticability \$107,483

8 SECTION 2. Based upon the findings of Section 1, the
9 Council hereby finds that bond proceeds have been made available,
10 and further the Council finds that completion of a previously
11 designated Forward Thrust project described below can be
12 accomplished with such funds, and that such project is consistent
13 with the Resolution, and for a County purpose; therefore it is
14 hereby specified, ratified and approved to expend such bond
15 proceeds to complete the following project:

16 D(20) RICHMOND BEACH PARK \$ 95,200

17 Improvements to existing County Parks.

18 INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 12th day
19 of July, 1982.

20 PASSED this 9th day of August, 1982.

22 KING COUNTY COUNCIL
23 KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

24 Lois North
25 Chairman

26 ATTEST:

27 Donald C. Peterson
28 Deputy Clerk of the Council

30 APPROVED this 19th day of August, 1982.

31 Randy Reeder
32 King County Executive